FCC Received May 12, 1993 - 3:55 p.m.

## ODICINIAL

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RECEIVED						
Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATION Washington, D.C.	NS COMMISSION					
	William III					
IN THE MATTER OF:	DOCKET NO. 93-42					
MOONBEAM, INC.						
GARY E. WILLSON						
Calistoga, California						
·						
	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATION Washington, D.C. IN THE MATTER OF: MOONBEAM, INC. and GARY E. WILLSON					

DATE OF CONFERENCE:

**VOLUME:** 

24

22

23

```
1
                   FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
                               Before the
 2
                         Washington, D.C.
 3
 4
    In the matter of:
                                           Docket No.
                                                       93-42
 5
    MOONBEAM, INC.
    and
 6
    GARY E. WILLSON
7
    Calistoga, California
8
            The above-entitled matter came on for pre-hearing
    conference pursuant to notice before Judge Edward Luton,
9
    Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington,
    D.C., in Courtroom No. 4, on Thursday, May 6, 1993 at 9:00
10
    a.m.
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    On behalf of Gary Willson:
13
      A. WRAY FITCH, III, Esquire
      Gammon and Grange, P.C.
14
      8280 Greensboro Drive
      Seventh Floor
15
      McLean, Virginia 22102-3807
16
    On behalf of Moonbeam, Incorporated:
17
      LEE W. SHUBERT, Esquire
      SUSAN ROSENAU, Esquire
18
      Law Offices Haley, Bader and Potts
      4350 North Fairfax Drive
19
      Suite 900
      Arlington, Virginia 22203-1633
20
    On behalf of the Mass Media Bureau:
21
      LARRY A. MILLER, Esquire
22
      Hearing Branch
      2025 M Street, N.W.
23
      Room 7212
      Washington, D.C. 20554
24
25
```

1					I N D	ΕX					
2											
3	Opening	Statment	by .	Judge	Luton			page	3		
4											
5											
6				٠							
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14 15											
15 16											
17											
 18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25	Conferen	nce Began	: 9	:00 a.	.m.	Conferer	nce End	led:	9:05	a.m.	

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. MILLER: On behalf of the Mass Media Bureau, Larry
3	A. Miller.
4	MR. SHUBERT: On behalf of Moonbeam, Inc., Your Honor,
5	Susan Rosenau and myself, Lee Shubert, of the firm of Haley,
6	Bader and Potts.
7	MR. FITCH: Your Honor, on behalf of Gary Willson, Wray
8	Fitch with the firm Gammon and Grange.
9	JUDGE LUTON: Thank you. It's nice to have the Bureau
10	with us this morning.
11	MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE LUTON: I take it that there is no issue, at this
13	point at any rate, in which the Bureau takes particular
14	interest. Is that right?
15	MR. MILLER: That's correct, Your Honor. There's no
16	areas and pops issue and we're just here to monitor the case.
17	JUDGE LUTON: Good. Keep an eye on us.
18	MR. MILLER: Make sure you're
19	JUDGE LUTON: Check our pulse.
20	MR. MILLER: Make sure you're doing your job.
21	JUDGE LUTON: I understand. All right. The hearing in
22	this case has already been established the date has been
23	established for July 21 and we're going to adhere to that
24	date. Have the parties discussed the possibility of
25	settlement?

MR. SHUBERT: Yes.

MR. FITCH: Yes, Your Honor, we have, quite extensively in the past.

JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's good enough. You don't have to tell me anymore unless you want to. I just want to know that it's considered anyway before we move too far along. All right. The only thing that I wanted to do this morning was to set some procedural dates. I'm aware of some pending pleadings. I guess the most pressing of those is a motion to compel filed by one of the parties, oppositions, and that matter is ripe for decision and I'll decide it soon.

A couple of petitions to enlarge issues, I think one going each way, still in the process of being pleaded, I believe. Other than that, we're okay. So the dates that I would propose -- and the parties ought to feel free to suggest different dates here, except for the hearing date, if they feel the need to. I would ask that exhibits be submitted, written exhibits be exchanged June 25th, and that way the parties will have two full weeks to look at the exhibits before naming their witnesses.

The witnesses that they want to cross examine, I'd ask that that be done July the 9th, and within a week after that, by July 14th, parties can object to witnesses that are called, and that will give us a week before the hearing, July 21, to take care of the objections to the witnesses and proceed to

1	hearing on July 21.
2	So the way that I have it and the way that I would
3	propose it would be for exhibits to be exchanged June 25th,
4	witnesses who are desired to be cross examined be named July
5	the 9th, objections to witnesses who are called be made by
6	July the 14th, and the hearing on July 21. How are those
7	dates with the parties? Fair enough? Not quite?
8	MR. FITCH: With some hesitancy, I'm wondering whether
9	what Lee and Your Honor would think about moving the June
10	25 date back one week, so instead of two weeks between witness
11	notification and the exchange of direct cases, there would be
12	a one-week time period. It would just give us a little more
13	time to do the to do our exhibits.
14	JUDGE LUTON: June 25, move it up until July 1 or
15	something like that?
16	MR. FITCH: Yeah.
17	JUDGE LUTON: Is that what you're saying? Okay.
18	MR. SHUBERT: When is the Federal Government going to
19	celebrate the fourth of July this year?
20	MR. MILLER: The fifth, I believe.
21	MR. SHUBERT: It's the Monday after?
22	MR. MILLER: It's the Monday after.
23	MR. FITCH: I personally don't think I'm going to need
24	much time to decide on witnesses.
25	JUDGE LUTON: I really don't think anyone will. Two

1	weeks is really quite a lot of time there. It's really too
2	much time. Moving to the next Friday would be I'm sorry,
3	would be July the 2nd. Would that be all right?
4	MR. FITCH: Yes. That would be much better. Thank
5	you.
6	JUDGE LUTON: That's a good suggestion, Mr. Fitch, I
7	think. So July 2 for the exhibit exchange and then July 9,
8	witnesses, July 14, objections, and hearing, July 21. That's
9	all that I had. Does anyone have anything else he wishes to
10	raise?
11	MR. SHUBERT: One question I have, Your Honor, are you
12	going to set any date for initiation of depositions or should
13	you are we going to leave it up to ourselves?
14	JUDGE LUTON: I'm just going to leave it up to the
15	parties. I realize that you can't really get going on that
16	until I've taken care of the discovery motion to the motion of
17	production of documents or motion to compel whatever it is.
18	But I'm not going to set dates. But you should be proceeding
19	with discovery now, to the extent that you can. All right?
20	Thank you very much.
21	(Whereupon, at 9:05 a.m. on Thursday, May 6, 1993, the
22	conference adjourned.)
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

APPLICATION OF Name	MOONBEAM, INC. &	GARY E. WIL	LSON
MM DOCKET NO. 9 Docket No.	3-42		
WASHINGTON, D.C. Place	<b>3</b> ————————————————————————————————————		
MAY 6. 1993 Date			
pages, numbers true, accurate reporting by the above ident provisions of the professional very work and have very comparing the tracording accompaning proofed to the proofes of the proof	and complete transfied proceeding the current Federarbatim reporting erified the accurypewritten transfield at the process.	nscript preposering in accordate and transcript agains roceeding and cript agains	nclusive, are the
May 11, 1993 Date	Marykae Fleish Free State Rep	nman porting, Inc.	Manuary Transcriber
May 11, 1993	Diane S. Winde	Villade	// Proofreader
May 11, 1993  Date	Pree State Rep  Marykae Freish  Free State Rep	orting, Inc.	Whoman Reporter

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947